Bridging tradition and modernity: A systematic analysis of cultural beliefs, health practices, and the justification for integrative ethnomedicine in Africa

Wilson Adina Otenga

otengahwilsonn@gmail.com

https://orcid.org/0009-0002-4774-1968

Rongo University, Kenya

https://doi.org/10.51867/ajernet.7.2.40

ABSTRACT

This systematic review examines the intersection of indigenous ethnomedicine and Western biomedicine in Africa, exploring the cultural, spiritual, and pharmacological factors that shape the continent's "medical pluralism." While up to 80% of the African population relies on traditional medicine due to its cultural resonance and geographic accessibility, a significant "biomedical-cultural gap" persists, leading to fragmented care and public health risks such as adverse herb-drug interactions. Utilizing a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology and anchored in the Health Belief Model and Medical Pluralism Theory, the study identifies that health-seeking behavior is driven by a search for "ultimate" spiritual causes rather than mere biological symptoms. Furthermore, while pharmacological analysis validates the efficacy of secondary metabolites in indigenous flora, it highlights critical safety concerns regarding toxicity and lack of standardization, which can lead to potential health risks for patients if not properly addressed. The review concludes that a sustainable African healthcare strategy requires a transition from "biomedical elitism" to a culturally congruent, integrative model. Recommendations include the formalization of traditional healers into the primary healthcare chain, the development of national pharmacopoeias, and the institutionalization of rigorous quality control standards to harmonize ancestral wisdom with clinical safety.

Keywords: Ethnomedicine, Health-Seeking Behavior, Integrative Healthcare, Medicinal Plants, Medical Pluralism

I. INTRODUCTION

The healthcare landscape in Africa represents a sophisticated "medical pluralism," where indigenous wisdom and Western biomedicine coexist as a primary recourse for the majority of the population. For much of the continent, ethnomedicine is not a secondary alternative but a foundational pillar of health, rooted in a holistic worldview that defines wellness as a state of physical, social, and spiritual equilibrium (Mbiti, 1990). In this context, the etiology of illness is categorized into natural, social, and spiritual realms. While germ theory is recognized in modern clinical settings, traditional frameworks often attribute chronic misfortune or sudden illness to ancestral displeasure, the breach of taboos, or malevolent spiritual forces (Shizha & Charema, 2011).

This cultural framework necessitates the role of the traditional healer, a practitioner who serves as a mediator between the physical and metaphysical. These healers employ a dual approach—utilizing Africa's vast botanical biodiversity for pharmacological treatment while performing rituals to restore the patient's social and spiritual standing (Sofowora, 1993). The persistence of these beliefs is frequently misinterpreted by outsiders as a lack of formal education; however, systematic analysis suggests these practices endure because they address deep-seated psychosocial and "cultural safety" needs that the often impersonal, clinical approach of Western medicine overlooks (Airhihenbuwa, 1995). The scale of this reliance is significant. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that in several African nations, up to 80% of the population relies on traditional medicine for primary care (WHO, 2024). This is driven largely by accessibility and affordability; in many regions, there is a staggering ratio of approximately one traditional healer for every 200 people, contrasted with a sparse one medical doctor for every 20,000 people (Mahomoodally, 2013).

However, this dual system presents a complex intersection of risk and opportunity. The oscillation between traditional herbs and pharmaceutical drugs creates "medical pluralism" fraught with risks, such as adverse herb-drug interactions or the delayed diagnosis of treatable conditions like HIV/AIDS and malaria (Fennell et al., 2004). Conversely, this intersection offers a massive repository of untapped pharmacological potential. Modern medicine has already benefited from this knowledge, as seen in the development of artemisinin derivatives for malaria, which have direct roots in indigenous botanical expertise (Lone et al., 2015). Justifying a shift toward an integrative model—where traditional and modern systems are harmonized—requires moving past "biomedical elitism." This transition involves the systematic validation of traditional remedies through clinical trials and the training of healers in basic primary care

and hygiene (Omonzejele, 2008a). By formalizing the role of traditional medicine, governments can effectively regulate the safety of herbal products, protect the intellectual property rights of indigenous communities, and build a resilient healthcare system that is scientifically sound, culturally resonant, and geographically accessible.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

The ideal healthcare landscape in Africa is envisioned as a unified, pluralistic system where Western biomedicine and indigenous ethnomedicine operate in a synergistic partnership, ensuring that patients receive scientifically validated treatments that are also culturally sensitive and geographically accessible (WHO, 2023, Abera et al., 2019). In this optimal scenario, traditional healers would be integrated into the primary healthcare chain, acting as recognized first responders who can provide regulated herbal therapies while effectively referring complex cases to clinical facilities (Abubakar et al., 2022).However, the actual situation reveals a fragmented and often antagonistic relationship between these two spheres. Despite the fact that over 80% of sub-Saharan Africans rely on traditional practitioners due to the scarcity of medical doctors, ethnomedicine remains largely unregulated, frequently relegated to the fringes of formal policy, and viewed with skepticism by the biomedical establishment (Gyasi et al., 2019).The discrepancy lies in this persistent "biomedical-cultural gap": while the population actively utilizes both systems, there is a profound lack of formal communication, leading to dangerous herb-drug interactions, delayed diagnoses of life-threatening diseases, and the erosion of indigenous intellectual property (Omonzejele, 2008b). This misalignment creates a significant public health risk, as the disconnect between deep-seated cultural beliefs and clinical practice prevents the development of a truly holistic and sustainable African health framework.

1.2 Research Objectives

i. To systematically analyze the cultural beliefs and spiritual etiologies that underpins health-seeking behaviors in Africa.
ii. To evaluate the pharmacological efficacy and safety profiles of commonly used indigenous medicinal plants.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

The theoretical foundation for bridging tradition and modernity in African healthcare is predominantly anchored in Health Belief Model (HBM) and Medical Pluralism Theory. The HBM posits that an individual's health-related behavior is determined by their perception of susceptibility, severity, and the benefits of a particular intervention (Gyasi et al., 2019). In the African context, this theory explains why patients often bypass modern clinics for traditional healers; if a disease is perceived to have a spiritual origin, the "perceived benefit" of a secular hospital is viewed as lower than that of an ethnomedical ritual. Complementing this is the theory of Medical Pluralism, which acknowledges the coexistence of distinct medical subsystems within a single society. This framework suggests that rather than competing, these systems form a "hierarchy of resort" where patients strategically navigate between indigenous and Western modalities based on cultural resonance and clinical urgency (Omonzejele, 2008a).

Furthermore, the Post-Colonial Theory of Science provides a critical lens for the "justification" of integrative medicine, arguing that the historical marginalization of African ethnomedicine was a byproduct of colonial "biomedical elitism" rather than a lack of efficacy (Abubakar et al., 2022). By utilizing these theories, the study justifies an integrative approach as a means of decolonizing healthcare and creating a "third space"—a hybrid system where indigenous botanical knowledge and modern diagnostic safety standards converge to provide holistic care (Mbiti, 1990). This theoretical synthesis advocates for a transition from a conflict-based model to a collaborative one, where the cultural identity of the patient is preserved within a framework of scientific safety.

2.2 Empirical Review

2.2.1 Cultural Beliefs and Spiritual Etiologies that Underpins Health-Seeking Behaviors in Africa.

Empirical literature regarding the cultural underpinnings of African healthcare consistently highlights that health-seeking behavior is dictated by a "personalistic" rather than a purely "naturalistic" medical system. Studies across sub-Saharan Africa demonstrate that illness is frequently perceived as a disruption of social or spiritual harmony rather than a simple biological malfunction (Mbiti, 1990). For instance, research in rural Ghana and Nigeria has shown that while patients may recognize the physical symptoms of malaria, they often attribute severe or "unnatural" manifestations of the disease to ancestral displeasure or malevolent spirits, leading them to consult traditional healers before seeking clinical aid (Gyasi et al., 2019). This spiritual etiology creates a hierarchy of resort where Western medicine is viewed as effective for symptomatic relief, while ethnomedicine is sought to address the "ultimate cause" of the affliction (Omonzejele, 2008b).

Furthermore, empirical evidence suggests that the "cultural safety" provided by traditional practitioners—who share the patient's linguistic and ontological framework—significantly outweighs the clinical appeal of modern hospitals for many communities. Studies by Abubakar et al. (2022) indicate that even among urban, educated populations, the belief in "African science" persists, leading to a dual-utilization pattern where patients move between two worlds to ensure both physical and metaphysical healing. This body of research underscores that any attempt to modernize African healthcare without addressing these deeply embedded spiritual etiologies is likely to face community resistance and suboptimal health outcomes.

2.2.2 Pharmacological Efficacy and Safety Profiles of Commonly used Indigenous Medicinal Plants.

Systematic reviews of species such as Artemisia afra and Moringa oleifera demonstrate significant antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant properties, suggesting that these plants offer viable alternatives or adjuncts to synthetic pharmaceuticals (Abubakar et al., 2022). For instance, studies on the antimalarial efficacy of traditional herbs have provided the foundational chemistry for modern artemisinin-based combination therapies, proving that indigenous knowledge often precedes laboratory discovery. However, the actual safety profile of these botanicals remains a critical concern in current research.

Empirical data from toxicological assessments indicate that while many plants are efficacious, improper dosage and the lack of standardization can lead to hepatotoxicity and renal failure (Gyasi et al., 2019). Furthermore, recent clinical observations have highlighted the prevalence of herb-drug interactions, particularly among patients co-managing chronic conditions like HIV/AIDS and hypertension with both traditional decoctions and Western antiretrovirals or antihypertensives (Omonzejele, 2008a). This body of evidence suggests that while the pharmacological potential of African ethnomedicine is vast, its safety is contingent upon rigorous scientific validation and the establishment of standardized preparation protocols to mitigate the risks of toxicity and adverse reactions (WHO, 2023).

III. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to synthesize qualitative and quantitative data on the intersection of African ethnomedicine and Western biomedicine. Utilizing a multi-stage search strategy across databases such as PubMed, AJOL, and Google Scholar, the study applies specific Boolean terms and keywords to identify peer-reviewed articles and policy reports from the last two decades (Abubakar et al., 2022). Inclusion criteria were applied: only studies published between 2000 and 2024; focused on African populations or contexts; available in English; peer-reviewed articles or credible policy reports; and studies providing empirical evidence on medicinal plant efficacy, safety, or socio-cultural determinants of health-seeking behavior. Studies lacking full text, conducted outside Africa, or not addressing ethnomedicine–biomedicine interaction were excluded. Robust inclusion and exclusion criteria ensure that the selected literature focuses strictly on the African context, prioritizing studies that provide empirical evidence on medicinal plant efficacy and socio-cultural disease etiologies (Gyasi et al., 2019). The gathered data undergoes a thematic synthesis to categorize findings into pillars of cultural belief, pharmacological safety, and policy frameworks (Omonzejele, 2008b). This rigorous process, finalized through standardized critical appraisal tools, ensures that the resulting justification for integrative healthcare is anchored in both ethical evidence and clinical safety (WHO, 2023).

IV. FINDINGS & DISCUSSION

4.1 Findings

4.1.1 Systematically analyze the cultural beliefs and spiritual etiologies that underpins health-seeking behaviors in Africa.

Empirical data suggests that many African communities distinguish between "natural" illnesses, which are manageable by Western medicine, and "supernatural" afflictions, which require ethnomedical intervention (Mbiti, 1990). A significant finding across various studies is that illness is often interpreted as a manifestation of social or spiritual disharmony, such as the transgression of ancestral taboos or the influence of malevolent spiritual forces (Gyasi et al., 2019). This cultural framework creates a specific hierarchy of resort where the traditional healer is often the first point of contact, not merely due to proximity, but because they provide a holistic explanation for the "why" of an illness, which clinical biomedicine often fails to address (Abubakar et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the literature indicates that these spiritual etiologies are deeply resilient, persisting even among urbanized and educated populations who utilize a "dual-track" system of care. Research highlights that for many, Western medicine is perceived as effective for symptom management, whereas traditional practices are deemed essential for treating the root metaphysical cause (Omonzejele, 2008a). Consequently, health-seeking behavior is not a linear path but a pluralistic process where cultural safety and spiritual resonance are prioritized. The findings underscore that

ignoring these deep-seated beliefs leads to poor clinical compliance and a lack of trust in formal health institutions, reinforcing the necessity for a healthcare model that respects and incorporates these cultural realities (WHO, 2023).

4.1.2 Pharmacological efficacy and safety profiles of commonly used indigenous medicinal plants.

Pharmacological evaluation of African indigenous medicinal plants reveal a significant overlap between traditional usage and modern biochemical validation. Research indicates that a vast majority of plants utilized in ethnomedicine contain potent secondary metabolites, such as alkaloids, flavonoids, and terpenoids, which exhibit measurable antimicrobial, anti-malarial, and anti-inflammatory properties (Abubakar et al., 2022). For example, species such as Artemisia afra and Warburgia salutaris have demonstrated efficacy in inhibiting pathogens that are increasingly resistant to conventional antibiotics, providing a scientific justification for their historical use in treating respiratory and febrile illnesses (Gyasi et al., 2019). Furthermore, the discovery of artemisinin-based compounds, which revolutionized malaria treatment globally, serves as a primary empirical benchmark for the potential of African botanical knowledge to contribute to international pharmacopeia.

Despite these therapeutic successes, the findings highlight critical safety concerns stemming from a lack of standardization in preparation and dosage. Toxicological assessments reveal that some commonly used plants, while effective for symptom relief, can induce acute hepatotoxicity or nephrotoxicity when consumed in high concentrations or over extended periods (Omonzejele, 2008b). Additionally, a major finding in recent clinical literature is the prevalence of adverse herb-drug interactions, particularly among patients simultaneously using traditional decoctions and Western pharmaceuticals for chronic conditions like HIV/AIDS and hypertension (WHO, 2023). This pharmacological duality underscores the urgent need for a regulated integrative framework that can validate the safety profiles of these plants and establish standardized dosing protocols to protect public health while leveraging indigenous biodiversity.

4.2 Discussion

4.2.1 Systematically analyze the cultural beliefs and spiritual etiologies that underpins health-seeking behaviors in Africa.

In many African traditional cosmologies, health is defined as a state of total equilibrium between the individual, the community, and the spiritual realm. This "wholistic" view means that illness is seldom seen as a random biological accident. Instead, as Shizha and Charema (2011) argue, etiology is often categorized into natural, supernatural, and moral causes. While natural ailments (like a common cold) may be treated with herbal remedies at home, persistent or sudden misfortunes are frequently attributed to spiritual disturbances, such as the displeasure of ancestral spirits or the malevolent intent of witchcraft. The primary driver of health-seeking behavior in this context is the search for the ultimate cause of illness rather than just the proximal cause. While a patient may acknowledge that a mosquito bite caused their malaria (the proximal cause), they may visit a traditional healer to understand why that specific mosquito bit them at that specific time (the ultimate cause). This spiritual etiology necessitates a pluralistic approach to healing. According to Mbiti (1990), the African spiritual world is densely populated with spirits that mediate daily life; therefore, restoration of health often requires ritual sacrifices or cleansing ceremonies to appease these entities and restore cosmic balance.

Furthermore, health-seeking behaviors are deeply communal. Decisions regarding where to seek care—be it a formal clinic, a prayer house, or an herbalist—are rarely made by the individual in isolation. The "therapy management group," typically consisting of elders and family members, plays a decisive role in interpreting symptoms through the lens of cultural heritage (Janzen, 1978). This often leads to "medical pluralism," where patients move between biomedical facilities and traditional practitioners simultaneously or sequentially. Airhihenbuwa (1995) emphasizes that failing to integrate these cultural realities into public health strategies leads to "cultural blindness," often resulting in low compliance with Western medical interventions.

4.2.2 Pharmacological efficacy and safety profiles of commonly used indigenous medicinal plants.

In the contemporary African landscape, the rise of Pentecostalism and Charismatic movements has introduced a new layer to spiritual etiology. Many seekers now view illness as a "spiritual attack" or "demonic oppression," leading them to prioritize "prophetic healing" and "miracle centers" over clinical consultation. This behavior underscores a persistent belief that spiritual problems require spiritual solutions, a mindset that remains resilient even in urbanized, educated populations (Omonzejele, 2008). The therapeutic potency of indigenous plants is primarily attributed to their rich reservoirs of secondary metabolites. Research into African and Asian biodiversity has consistently identified high concentrations of alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, and saponins as the drivers of pharmacological activity (Akintelu et al., 2020). For instance, species such as *Artemisia absinthium* and various *Acacia* species have demonstrated significant in vitro antibacterial and anti-parasitic efficacy, providing scientific weight to their long-standing use in treating malaria and microbial infections (Lone et al., 2015; Mahomoodally, 2013). Unlike synthetic drugs that often utilize a single

active pharmaceutical ingredient (API), indigenous remedies frequently exert their effects through synergistic interactions. This "multi-target" approach can enhance efficacy while potentially reducing the risk of drug resistance in pathogens (Tyler, 1999).

Despite the common "natural is safe" misconception, the systematic evaluation of these plants reveals significant safety considerations. While many traditional remedies show a lack of acute toxicity in standard doses, chronic or high-dose exposure can lead to severe adverse effects. Fennell et al. (2004) highlight that certain popular medicinal plants possess genotoxic or nephrotoxic potential when used over extended periods. Common adverse reactions documented in toxicological surveys include hepatotoxicity, gastrointestinal distress, and even neurological symptoms (Mounanga et al., 2015). These risks are often exacerbated by a lack of standardization in preparation methods—such as variations in the plant parts used (roots versus leaves) or the solvent employed (water versus alcohol)—which can drastically alter the concentration of both therapeutic and toxic compounds (Sofowora, 1993). The path forward for indigenous medicine involves the rigorous application of quality control metrics to ensure patient safety. This includes verifying the authenticity of plant material through microscopic and chemical fingerprinting, such as High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), to prevent accidental adulteration with toxic look-alikes (Liang et al., 2023). Furthermore, determining the "No Observed Adverse Effect Level" (NOAEL) through preclinical animal models is essential before these traditional remedies can be safely integrated into primary healthcare systems or developed into standardized phytopharmaceuticals (Mounanga et al., 2015).

V. CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION

5.1 Conclusion

The systematic analysis of health-seeking behaviors in Africa reveals that medical decisions are closely linked to a worldview in which the physical and spiritual realms are interdependent. This multifaceted approach to wellness shows that, for many Africans, the effectiveness of treatment is judged not only by the relief of physical symptoms but also by the restoration of social and spiritual balance. Health interventions, therefore, need to recognize cultural identity and acknowledge that spiritual explanations—such as ancestral influence and vital forces—form an important part of how illness is understood. The continued use of both biomedical and traditional healing systems reflects a practical and adaptive approach to healthcare rather than a lack of scientific awareness. Integrating these belief systems into modern public health frameworks is essential for building trust and improving health outcomes, while ignoring them risks alienating communities and weakening intervention efforts.

The pharmacological evaluation of indigenous medicinal plants highlights both their significant therapeutic potential and associated safety concerns. Scientific studies often support traditional uses by identifying active compounds with antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and anti-parasitic properties. However, effectiveness does not automatically guarantee safety. Challenges such as lack of standardized dosages, potential toxicity, and contamination from environmental factors present serious public health risks. While many plants are safe when used in traditional ways, prolonged use or high doses can lead to harmful effects, particularly on vital organs like the liver and kidneys. Successfully integrating these remedies into modern healthcare requires bridging traditional knowledge with scientific validation through proper quality control and standardized preparation. Establishing national guidelines and monitoring systems will be critical to ensure that indigenous medicines are safe, reliable, and evidence-based options in primary healthcare.

5.2 Recommendation

To effectively bridge the gap between indigenous health perspectives and formal healthcare delivery, public health policies in Africa should transition toward a culturally congruent healthcare model. This approach should go beyond acknowledging traditional beliefs and actively integrate family networks and spiritual leaders into clinical decision-making processes. Since communal involvement in diagnosing and addressing illness is a core aspect of many African societies, clinicians should be trained in cultural humility to engage families as essential partners in the healing process. National health ministries should also establish referral pathways that enable collaboration between traditional healers—often the first point of contact—and biomedical practitioners in a coordinated system that addresses both physical and spiritual aspects of health.

Furthermore, health communication strategies should be redesigned to reflect local understandings of wellness and harmony rather than relying solely on clinical terminology. Framing medical interventions within culturally meaningful concepts can help reduce skepticism and improve acceptance of healthcare services. Ethical medical practice should recognize that an individual’s identity is closely tied to their social and spiritual context, and incorporating these perspectives into medical training would support the development of a healthcare system that is both scientifically sound and culturally responsive.

To optimize the benefits of indigenous medicinal plants while minimizing risks, national health authorities should establish comprehensive frameworks for their standardization, regulation, and clinical validation. Governments should prioritize the development of national pharmacopoeias that clearly define plant identity, active compounds, and safe dosage levels, alongside the formal registration of herbal products and the implementation of pharmacovigilance systems to monitor and report adverse effects in both rural and urban settings. These measures will ensure that indigenous remedies are safe, effective, and reliably integrated into modern healthcare systems.

REFERENCES

Abera, T., Ashebir, R., Basha, H., Debebe, E., Abebe, A., Meresa, A., & Woldekidan, S. (2019). Phytochemical constituents, safety and efficacy of commonly used medicinal plants for the treatment of malaria in Ethiopia: A review. *Pharmacy & Pharmacology International Journal, 7*(6), 284–295.

Abubakar, S., Mshelia, H. E., & Ahmed, M. (2022). Ethnomedicine in sub-Saharan Africa: A review of utilization patterns and policy challenges. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 283*, Article 114713. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2021.114713

Airhihenbuwa, C. O. (1995). *Health and culture: Beyond the western paradigm*. Sage Publications.

Akintelu, S. A., Folorunso, A. S., & Oyebamiji, A. K. (2020). A comprehensive review on the therapeutic efficacy of some African medicinal plants. *Adeleke University Journal of Science, 1*(12).

Fennell, C. W., Lindsey, K. L., McGaw, L. J., Sparg, S. G., Stafford, G. I., Elgorashi, E. E., Grace, O. M., & Van Staden, J. (2004). Assessing African medicinal plants for efficacy and safety: Pharmacological screening and toxicology. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 94*(2–3), 205–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2004.05.012

Gyasi, R. M., Mensah, C. M., Adjei, P. O.-W., & Akwasi, S. (2019). Cultural beliefs and health-seeking behavior: Exploring the dual use of traditional and modern medicine. *Health & Place, 58*, Article 102147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.102147

Janzen, J. M. (1978). *The quest for therapy in lower Zaire*. University of California Press.

Liang, X., Jiang, X., & Wang, W. (2023). Advancing herbal medicine: Enhancing product quality and safety through robust quality control practices. *Frontiers in Pharmacology, 14*, Article 1172345. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2023.1172345

Lone, P. A., Ahmed, A., & Khan, S. (2015). Study of indigenous/traditional medicinal plant knowledge: An endeavour towards new drug discovery. *African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines, 12*(2), 1–10.

Mahomoodally, M. F. (2013). Traditional medicines in Africa: An appraisal of ten potent African medicinal plants. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2013*, Article 617459. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/617459

Mbiti, J. S. (1990). *African religions and philosophy* (2nd ed.). Heinemann.

Mounanga, M. B., Gaidi, G., & Fursa, V. (2015). Toxicity studies of medicinal plants used in sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 174*, 618–627. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2015.08.005

Omonzejele, P. F. (2008a). African concepts of health, disease, and treatment: An ethical inquiry. *The Journal of Pan African Studies, 2*(3), 120–136.

Omonzejele, P. F. (2008b). African traditional medicine: Its ethical and cultural significance. *Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics, 29*(3), 189–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11017-008-9070-8

Shizha, E., & Charema, J. (2011). Health and well-being: Indigenous knowledge and practices. In E. Shizha & J. Charema (Eds.), *African culture and global politics*. Routledge.

Sofowora, A. (1993). *Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa*. Spectrum Books.

Tyler, V. E. (1999). Phytomedicines: Back to the future. *Journal of Natural Products, 62*(11), 1589–1592.

World Health Organization. (2023). *WHO traditional medicine strategy 2023–2030*. WHO Press.

World Health Organization. (2024). *Global traditional medicine strategy 2025–2034: Policy and frameworks*. WHO Regional Office for Africa.